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Abstract

In this paper, we develop a constant amortized time (CAT) algorithm
for generating permutations with a fixed number of excedances. We obtain
a Gray code for permutations having one excedance. We also give a bijec-
tion between the set of $n$-length permutations with exactly one excedance
and the set $S_n(321, 2413, 3412, 21534) \setminus \{123 \ldots (n-1)n\}$. This induces a
Gray code for the set $S_n(321, 2413, 3412, 21534) \setminus \{123 \ldots (n-1)n\}$.
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1 Introduction

Let $S_n$ be the set of all permutations of length $n$ ($n \geq 1$). We represent permu-
tations in one-line notation, i.e., if $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n$ are $n$ distinct values in $[n] = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, we denote the permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ by the sequence $i_1i_2\ldots i_n$ if $\sigma(i) = i_k$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. For instance, the identity permutation of length
$n$, $id_n$, will be written $12\ldots(n-1)n$. Moreover, if $\gamma = \gamma(1)\gamma(2)\ldots\gamma(n)$ is an
$n$-length permutation then the composition (or product) $\gamma \cdot \sigma$ is the permutation
$\gamma(\sigma(1))\gamma(\sigma(2))\ldots\gamma(\sigma(n))$. In $S_n$, a $k$-cycle $\sigma = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k)$ is an $n$-length
permutation verifying $\sigma(i_1) = i_2, \sigma(i_2) = i_3, \ldots, \sigma(i_{k-1}) = i_k, \sigma(i_k) = i_1$ and
$\sigma(j) = j$ for $j \in [n]\setminus\{i_1, \ldots, i_k\}$; in particular, a transposition is a 2-cycle. Now,
let us consider $\sigma \in S_n$. We say that $\sigma(i), 1 \leq i \leq n$, is a strict excedance
(or excedance for short) of $\sigma$ if $\sigma(i) > i$. For $0 \leq k \leq n-1$, we denote by $E_{n,k}$ the set of all $n$-length permutations with exactly $k$ excedances. Obviously,
$\{E_{n,k}\}_{0 \leq k \leq n-1}$ forms a partition for $S_n$ and it is well known (see for instance [9])
that the cardinality of $E_{n,k}$ is given by the Eulerian numbers $e(n, k)$ satisfying:

$$e(n, k) = (n-k) \cdot e(n-1, k-1) + (k+1) \cdot e(n-1, k)$$

(1)

anchored by $e(n, 0) = 1$ and $e(n, n-1) = 1$ for $n \geq 1$. Notice that $e(n, k)$ also enumerates the $n$-length permutations with exactly $k$ descents ($\sigma(i)$ is a descent
of \(\sigma \in S_n\) (\(1 \leq i \leq n - 1\)), if \(\sigma(i) > \sigma(i + 1)\). Moreover, \(e(n, 1)\) is the number of Dyck paths of semi-length \(n\) having exactly one long ascent [23] (i.e., ascent of length at least two).

Eulerian numbers have been widely studied in enumerative combinatorics [9, 11, 18, 19]. Foata and Schützenberger [12] give several fundamental properties of these numbers; some applications to analysis of algorithms are given by Knuth [14] and to combinatorics on words by Lothaire [17]. Many of these references study Eulerian numbers as a distribution statistic (called Eulerian statistic) in \(S_n\). However, an efficient algorithm does not exist for generating all \(n\)-length permutations with a given number of excedances. Algorithms for generating other permutation classes have been published (generated in lexicographic order and in constant time per permutation, in amortized sense). For example, efficient algorithms are known for derangements [1], involutions [20], up-down permutations [8, 22], permutations with a fixed number of inversions [10], Fibonacci and Lucas permutations [5]. Also, several algorithms for generating permutation classes in Gray code order are given for permutations [13, 24] and their restrictions [15, 20, 21], derangements [7, 16], with a fixed number of cycles [4], involutions and fixed-point free involutions [26] or their generalizations (multiset permutations [25]).

In this paper, we provide an algorithm for generating \(n\)-length permutations with a fixed number of excedances, in Constant Amortized Time (CAT). An improvement of this algorithm allows us to list permutations with exactly one excedance in Gray code order. This code is optimal and two consecutive elements in the list differ in at most three positions. We construct a bijection between permutations with exactly one excedance and the set of avoiding permutations \(S_n(321, 3413, 3412, 21534)\)\{123\ldots(n-1)n\} and we also obtain a Gray code for this last set.

## Generating permutations with a given number of excedances

In this section, we provide a CAT algorithm for generating the set \(E_{n,k}\), i.e. the set of \(n\)-length permutations with \(k\) excedances.

### 2.1 Algorithm

Here, we show how one can recursively construct \(E_{n,k}\) from \(E_{n-1,k}\) and \(E_{n-1,k-1}\) which will induce a constructive proof of the enumerating relation (1). We also give a lemma, crucial in the construction of our algorithm.

Let \(\gamma \in E_{n-1,k}\) be an \((n - 1)\)-length permutation with \(k\) excedances, \(n \geq 2\), \(0 \leq k \leq n - 2\); let \(i\) be an integer, \(1 \leq i \leq n - 1\). If we denote by \(\sigma\) the permutation in \(S_n\) obtained from \(\gamma\) by replacing \(\gamma(i)\) by \(n\) and by appending \(\gamma(i)\) on the right of \(\gamma\), then we consider two cases:

(a) if \(\gamma(i)\) is an excedance in \(\gamma\), then \(\sigma \in E_{n,k}\);
(b) otherwise, \( \sigma \in E_{n,k+1} \).

Moreover, (c) if \( \sigma \) is obtained from \( \gamma \) by appending \( n \) on the right, then \( \sigma \in E_{n,k} \). Conversely, each permutation in \( E_{n,k} \), \( n \geq 2 \), can be uniquely obtained by one of these three constructions (a), (b) and (c), which gives a combinatorial proof of the relation (1).

Then we define below the functions \( \phi_n \) and \( \psi_n \) as follow:

**Definition 1** For \( 0 \leq k \leq n - 2 \), an integer \( i \in [n - 1] \) and a permutation \( \gamma \in E_{n-1,k} \), we define an \( n \)-length permutation \( \sigma = \phi_{n-1}(i, \gamma) \) by

\[
\sigma(j) = \begin{cases} 
  n & \text{if } j = i \\
  \gamma(i) & \text{if } j = n \\
  \gamma(j) & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

We also define the function \( \psi_{n-1} \) from \( E_{n-1,k} \) to \( S_n \) that transforms \( \gamma \in E_{n-1,k} \) in the permutation \( \sigma \in E_{n,k} \) obtained from \( \gamma \) by appending \( n \) on its right.

For example, if \( \gamma = 3142 \in E_{4,2} \), we have \( \phi_4(3, \gamma) = 31524 \in E_{5,2} \); \( \phi_4(2, \gamma) = 35421 \in E_{5,3} \) and \( \psi_4(\gamma) = 31425 \in E_{5,2} \). More generally and with the same hypothesis of Definition 1, we can easily remark that:

\[ \phi_{n-1}(i, \gamma) = \psi_{n-1}(\gamma) \cdot (i, n) = (\gamma(i), n) \cdot \psi_{n-1}(\gamma). \]

In the sequel of this paper, we will omit the index \( n \) in \( \phi_n \) and \( \psi_n \) since it will be known by the context.

The above comments induce the following straightforward lemma that is the key of our algorithm.

**Lemma 1** Let \( n, m, \ell, k \) be four natural numbers such that \( 1 \leq m < n \), \( 0 \leq \ell \leq m - 1 \), and \( 0 \leq k \leq n - 1 \). Let also \( \gamma \in E_{m,\ell} \).

- If there exists \( i \), \( 1 \leq i \leq m - 1 \), such that \( \gamma(i) \) is an excedance of \( \gamma \), then there is a permutation \( \sigma \in E_{n,k} \) such that \( \sigma \) is obtained from \( \phi(i, \gamma) \) (respectively \( \psi(\gamma) \)) by applying several times the functions \( \phi \) and \( \psi \) if and only if: (i) \( \ell \leq k \) and \( m + 1 - \ell \leq n - k \).

- Now let us consider \( i \), \( 1 \leq i \leq m \), such that \( \gamma(i) \) is not an excedance of \( \gamma \), then there is a permutation \( \sigma \in E_{n,k} \) such that \( \sigma \) is obtained from \( \phi(i, \gamma) \) by applying several times the functions \( \phi \) and \( \psi \) if and only if: (ii) \( \ell + 1 \leq k \) and \( m - \ell \leq n - k \).

- If \( \sigma \in E_{n,k} \) is obtained from \( \gamma \in E_{m,\ell} \) by the first previous construction and \( \tau \in E_{n,k} \) from the second, then \( \sigma \) and \( \tau \) are different.

Now we explain the main difficulties in implementing our procedure \( \text{gen}(m, \ell) \) given in Figure 1. The procedure \( \text{gen}(1, 0) \) generates recursively all permutations \( \sigma \in E_{n,k} \). Indeed, let us consider that when we run the recursive call \( \text{gen}(m, \ell) \), the current permutation is \( \sigma \in E_{m,\ell} \) where its excedances are in
positions \(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_\ell\), (\textit{i.e.} the excedances of \(\sigma\) are \(\sigma(i_1), \ldots, \sigma(i_\ell)\)). Then, an array \(t_1\) contains these \(\ell\) positions \(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_\ell\). On the other hand, the indices in \([m]\setminus\{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_\ell\}\) are stored in an array \(t_2\) of length \(r = m - \ell\). By considering the necessary conditions of Lemma 1, the procedure \texttt{gen}(m, \ell)\) generates permutations in \(E_{m+1,\ell}\) or \(E_{m+1,\ell+1}\) by applying to \(\sigma\) the functions \(\phi\) and/or \(\psi\). In order to obtain:

- \(\psi(\sigma) \in E_{m+1,\ell}\): we add the index \((m+1)\) on the right of array \(t_2\) \((t_2[r+1] = m+1)\) and we call \texttt{gen}(m + 1, \ell).
- \(\phi(i_j, \sigma)\) with \(j \in [\ell]\): we add \((m+1)\) on the right of \(t_2\) \((t_2[r+1] = m+1)\). We update \(\sigma = \sigma \cdot (t_2[j], m + 1)\) and we call \texttt{gen}(m + 1, \ell).
- \(\phi(i, \sigma)\) with \(i \notin \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_\ell\}\): \textit{i.e.}, for each \(j \leq r\), we put \(\text{temp} = t_2[j]\).

We add \(\text{temp}\) on the right of \(t_1\) \((t_1[\ell + 1] = \text{temp})\) and we replace \(t_2[j]\) by \(m+1\); then we update \(\sigma = \sigma \cdot (\text{temp}, m+1)\) and we call \texttt{gen}(m + 1, \ell + 1).

After each recursive call, we update \(t_1\) and \(t_2\) and \(\sigma\) in order to obtain the previous configuration before the call. These statements require a complexity in \(O(1)\) (\textit{i.e.} independent of the permutation length). See Figure 1 for our generating algorithm and for some examples.
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\caption{Generating algorithm for permutations with a fixed number of excedances and the lists \(E_{4,1}, E_{4,2}\) and \(E_{5,1}\).}
\end{figure}

### 2.2 Complexity

Here we do not consider the trivial case \(k = 0\) (\(k = n-1\) resp.) where \(E_{n,0} = \{12\ldots n\}\) \((E_{n,n-1} = \{2\ldots n\}\) resp.). Let us assume \(k \neq 0\) and \(k \neq n-1\). Then our algorithm produces all permutations of \(E_{n,k}\) in constant amortized
time (CAT); i.e. the amount of computation is proportional to the number of generated objects. Indeed, in our procedure the computation is proportional to the number of recursive calls performed by it, and each call generates a combinatorial object, or produces at least two calls. A Java implementation of this algorithm can be viewed at http://www.u-bourgogne.fr/jl.baril/applet.html.

3 Gray code for permutations with exactly one excedance

In this part, we will adapt the previous algorithm for generating $E_{n,1}$, $n \geq 1$, in a Gray code order. A Gray code is a family $\{L_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ of lists of $n$-length sequences such that in each list the Hamming distance $d$ between any two consecutive sequences (i.e. the number of positions in which they differ) is bounded by a constant independently of their length. If this constant is minimal then the code is called optimal.

Now we explain how one can modify the procedure of Figure 1 in order to produce a Gray code listing for $E_{n,1}$. Let us remark that the generating tree associated with the previous algorithm has the following properties:

- for each $k \leq n-1$, a permutation $\sigma \in E_{k,1}$ has two sons: $\phi(i, \sigma)$ if $\sigma(i)$ is the excedance in $\sigma$; and $\psi(\sigma)$;
- for each $k \leq n-2$, $\sigma = id_k = 12\ldots k$ has $(k+1)$ sons: $\psi(id_k) = id_{k+1}$, $\phi(1, id_k), \ldots, \phi(k-1, id_k), \phi(k, id_k)$;
- for $k = n-1$, $\sigma = id_{n-1} = 12\ldots (n-1)$ has $(n-1)$ sons: $\phi(1, id_{n-1}), \ldots, \phi(n-1, id_{n-1})$.

This means that each level $k \leq n-1$ of the generating tree contains all permutations of $E_{k,1} \cup \{id_k\}$ and the last level $n$ contains those of $E_{n,1}$. Then for each $k \leq n-1$ and for a permutation $\sigma \in E_{k,1} \cup \{id_k\}$, we associate:

- a direction, up or down. A permutation $\sigma$ with the direction up (down respectively) will be denoted by $\sigma^1$ ($\sigma^0$ respectively).
- a list of its sons in the generating tree considered with their directions. The list of successors of $\sigma^0$ is obtained by reversing the list of successors of $\sigma^1$ and by reversing the direction of each element of the list.

Now, let $\sigma^1 \in E_{k,1} \cup \{id_k\}$. We define the sequence $L$ of integer where $L(i)$ denotes the $i$th integer of the sequence $L$:

$$L = \begin{cases} k - 1, k - 3, k - 5, \ldots, 1, 2, 4, \ldots, k & \text{if } k \text{ is even}, \\ k - 1, k - 3, k - 5, \ldots, 2, 1, 3, \ldots, k & \text{if } k \text{ is odd}, \end{cases}$$

and we distinguish two cases:
- if \( \sigma^1 \) has two sons where \( \sigma(i) \) is an excedance, the list of its successors is 
  \( \phi(i, \sigma)^0, \psi(\sigma)^1 \).

- if \( \sigma^1 = \text{id}_{k}^1, k \leq n - 1 \), the list of its successors is 
  \( \phi(L(1), \sigma)^0, \phi(L(2), \sigma)^1, \ldots, \phi(L(k), \sigma)^{(k-1)} \mod 2, \psi(\sigma)^1 \),

Recall that for \( \sigma^0 \), we take the reverse list of \( \sigma^1 \).
So we recursively define our Gray code \( \mathcal{E}_{n,1} \cup \{id_n\} \) for \( E_{n,1} \cup \{id_n\} \) as follow:

\[
\mathcal{E}_{n,1} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
1 \circ \mathcal{E}_{n-1,1} + 1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\
\text{otherwise}, & \text{otherwise,}
\end{array} \right.
\]

where \( \Pi(i) \) is the list of the \( i \)th permutation of the \( \mathcal{E}_{n-1,1} \cup \{id_{n-1}\} \) considered with its direction, and \( \circ \) is the concatenation operator for lists. See Figure 2 for instance.

**Theorem 1** The list \( \mathcal{E}_{n,1} \cup \{id_n\} \) defined above is an optimal Gray code for \( E_{n,1} \cup \{id_n\} \). Two consecutive elements in the list differ in at most three positions. Consequently, the restricted list \( \mathcal{E}_{n,1} \) is also an optimal Gray code for the set \( E_{n,1} \).

**Proof.** We proceed by induction on \( n \). The property is true for \( n \leq 3 \). We assume that it holds for \( k \leq n - 1 \) and we will prove that \( \mathcal{E}_{n,1} \cup \{id_n\} \) is a Gray code.

First, we consider transitions between the successors of a permutation \( \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{n-1,1} \cup \{id_{n-1}\} \), i.e. the transitions of the form (i) \( \phi(i, \sigma) \), \( \phi(j, \sigma) \) for \( 1 \leq |i - j| \leq 2 \), or (ii) \( \psi(\sigma) \), \( \psi(\sigma) \), with \( 1 \leq i, j \leq n - 1 \). For the transition (i), we easily have \( \phi(i, \sigma) = \phi(j, \sigma) \cdot (i, j, n) \). For (ii), we have \( \phi(i, \sigma) = \psi(\sigma) \cdot (i, n) \). Thus two successive permutations in \( \mathcal{E}_{n,1} \cup \{id_n\} \), that have the same predecessors in \( \mathcal{E}_{n-1,1} \cup \{id_{n-1}\} \), differ in at most three positions.

Now we assume that \( \sigma \) and \( \tau \) are two successive permutations in \( \mathcal{E}_{n,1} \cup \{id_n\} \) that do not have the same predecessor in \( \mathcal{E}_{n-1,1} \cup \{id_{n-1}\} \). Let \( \sigma_1 \in \mathcal{E}_{n-1,1} \cup \{id_{n-1}\} \) (respectively \( \tau_1 \in \mathcal{E}_{n-1,1} \cup \{id_{n-1}\} \)) be the predecessor of \( \sigma \) (respectively \( \tau \)). Via the recurrence hypothesis, \( \sigma_1 \) and \( \tau_1 \) differ by at most three positions. We distinguish three cases:

- (a) If \( \sigma_1 \) has the direction 1 (up), and \( \tau_1 \) the direction 0 (down), then \( \sigma = \psi(\sigma_1) \) and \( \tau = \psi(\tau_1) \) which implies that \( \sigma \) and \( \tau \) differ by at most three positions.

- (b) If \( \sigma_1 \) has the direction 0, and \( \tau_1 \) the direction 1, then they necessarily have the same predecessor \( \gamma \in \mathcal{E}_{n-2,1} \cup \{id_{n-2}\} \).
If \( \gamma = id_{n-2} \) then \( \sigma_1 = \phi(i, id_{n-2}), \tau_1 = \phi(j, id_{n-2}) \) with \( 1 \leq |i - j| \leq 2 \) and \( 1 \leq i, j \leq n - 2 \); or \( \sigma_1 = \phi(n - 2, id_{n-2}) \) and \( \tau_1 = \psi(id_{n-2}) = id_{n-1} \). Therefore, for the first case, \( \sigma = \phi(i, \sigma_1) \), \( \tau = \phi(j, \tau_1) \) and \( \sigma = \phi(j, i, n - 1) \); and for the second case, we have \( \sigma = \phi(n - 2, \sigma_1) \) and \( \tau = \phi(n - 2, id_{n-1}) \) which means \( \tau = \sigma \cdot (n - 1, n) \). Thus \( \sigma \) and \( \tau \) differ in at most three positions.
If $\gamma \neq id_{n-2}$ then we obtain $\sigma_1 = \phi(i, \gamma)$ and $\tau_1 = \psi(\gamma)$ (or conversely) where $\gamma(i)$ is the only one excedance of $\gamma$. Thus we have $\sigma = \phi(i, \sigma_1)$ and $\tau = \phi(i, \tau_1)$, which implies that $\tau = \sigma \cdot (n - 1, n)$. Thus $\sigma$ and $\tau$ differ in at most three positions.

- (c) If $\sigma_1$ has the direction 1, and $\tau_1$ the direction 1, then they necessarily have the same predecessor $id_{n-2} \in E_{n-2,1} \cup \{id_{n-2}\}$. Thus, $\sigma_1 = \phi(n-2, id_{n-2})$, $\tau_1 = id_{n-1}$ and we have $\sigma = \phi(n-2, \sigma_1)$ and $\tau = \phi(n-2, id_{n-1})$ which also prove that $\sigma$ and $\tau$ differ in at most three positions.

For the optimality, it suffices (for instance) to remark for instance that $E_{4,1} \cup \{id_4\}$ contains seven odd permutations and five even permutations. This allows us to prove that we can not obtain Gray code for $E_{4,1} \cup \{id_4\}$ such that two consecutive permutations differ by two positions. \hfill $\square$

Notice that, since $id_n$ is the last permutation of the Gray code $E_{n,1} \cup \{id_n\}$, the restricted list $E_{n,1}$ is also an optimal Gray code. Figure 2 illustrates the generating tree for $E_{4,1}$.

![Generating tree for the Gray codes $E_{4,1}$](image)

Figure 2: The generating tree for the Gray codes $E_{4,1}$. Each permutation of $E_{4,1}$ with its direction, lies on the first line of the leaves (in boldface). The second line generates permutations in $S_4 \setminus \{1234\}$ studied in Section 4.

Remark 1 Notice that we can obtain the generating tree of $E_{n,1} \cup \{id_n\}$ using the method ECO [2, 3]. Indeed, the following succession rules

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{root} \\
(k) &\rightarrow (2_1)^{k-1}(k + 1) \\
(2_1) &\rightarrow (2)^2
\end{align*}
\]

also provide $E_{n,1} \cup \{id_n\}$. Here, the rules for obtaining a successor of a permutation $\sigma \in E_{k,1}$ is not the classical insertion between two entries of $\sigma$; but we
obtain the successor of $\sigma$ by appending $(k+1)$ at the end of $\sigma$ and by a product (on the right) of a transposition $(i,k+1)$ for some $i \in [k+1]$.

This rule does not satisfy the stability property introduced in [6]. However, the rule is almost stable since the label of the root is the only one that does not produce two labels $(2)$. Consequently, the method in [6] allows to construct a Gray code in a particular representation of $E_{n,1} \cup \{\text{id}_n\}$ (which is not the classical representation of a permutation).

### 4 A bijection between $E_{n,1} \cup \{12 \ldots n\}$ and $S_n(T)$ with $T = \{321, 2413, 3412, 21534\}$

In this part we give a correspondence between $E_{n,1} \cup \{12 \ldots n\}$ and a set of avoiding permutations $S_n(321, 2413, 3412, 21534)$. A permutation $\sigma = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \ldots \sigma_n \in S_n$ contains the pattern $\gamma \in S_k$ ($k \geq 2$) if and only if a sequence of indices $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_k \leq n$ exists such that $\sigma_{i_1} \sigma_{i_2} \ldots \sigma_{i_k}$ is ordered as $\gamma$. We denote by $S_n(\gamma)$ the set of permutations of $S_n$ avoiding the pattern $\gamma$. For example, $3241 \notin S_4(123)$ but $4312 \in S_4(123)$.

Now, we define the function $\phi'$ below:

**Definition 2** For an integer $i \in [n-1]$ and a permutation $\gamma \in S_{n-1}$, we define an $n$-length permutation $\sigma = \phi'_{n-1}(i, \gamma)$ by

$$
\sigma(j) = \begin{cases} 
n & \text{if } j = i \\
\gamma(j) & \text{if } j \leq i - 1 \\
\gamma(j - 1) & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
$$

Thus the permutation $\phi'_{n-1}(i, \gamma)$ is obtained from $\gamma$ by inserting $n$ just before $\gamma(i)$.

For example, $\phi'_5(3, 52431) = 526431$. As for the function $\phi$, we will omit the index in $\phi'_n$.

**Theorem 2** If we replace $\phi$ by $\phi'$ in the definition of our Gray code $E_{n,1} \cup \{\text{id}_n\}$, we also obtain an optimal Gray code for the set $S_n(321, 2413, 3412, 21534)$ such that two successive permutations differ in at most three positions.

**Proof.** Let us prove that our generating tree considered with $\phi'$, creates all permutations of the set $S_n(321, 2413, 3412, 21534)$. We proceed by induction on $n$. This holds for $n \leq 5$. Let us assume that the result is true for $k \leq n$. Let $\sigma$ be a permutation on the level $n + 1$. Therefore, $\sigma$ is obtained from a permutation $\tau$ in $S_{n}(321, 2413, 3412, 21534)$. If $\tau = \text{id}_n$ then $\sigma = \phi'(i, \text{id}_n)$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$, or $\sigma = \psi(\text{id}_n) = \text{id}_{n+1}$, which implies that $\sigma$ belongs to the set $S_{n+1}(321, 2413, 3412, 21534)$. If $\tau \neq \text{id}_n$ then $\sigma = \phi'(n, \tau)$ or $\sigma = \psi(\tau)$, and $\sigma$ is still in the set $S_{n+1}(321, 2413, 3412, 21534)$.

Conversely, let us prove that each permutation $\sigma \in S_{n+1}(321, 2413, 3412, 21534)$ belongs to the level $n + 1$ of the generating tree. If we have $\sigma(n) = n + 1$ or
\( \sigma(n+1) = n+1 \) then there exists \( \tau \in S_n(321, 2413, 3412, 21534) \) such that, either 
\( \sigma = \phi(n, \tau) \) or \( \sigma = \psi(\tau) \). This proves that \( \sigma \) belongs to the level \( n+1 \) of the generating tree. For the other cases, there exists \( i \leq n-1 \), such that \( \sigma(i) = n+1 \).
Since \( \sigma \) avoids 321, we necessarily have \( \sigma(i+1) < \sigma(i+2) < \ldots < \sigma(n+1) \). Since \( \sigma \) avoids 2413 and 3412, we necessarily have \( \sigma(j) < \sigma(i+1) \) for \( j \leq i-1 \). Since \( \sigma \) avoids 21534 then \( \sigma(1) < \sigma(2) < \ldots < \sigma(i-1) \). For all these reasons, we obtain \( \sigma = 123 \ldots (i-1)(n+1)i(i+1)\ldots n = \phi(i,\ id_n) \) which induces that \( \sigma \) belongs to the generating tree. This means that the generating tree with \( \phi' \) allows us to generates all permutations in the set \( S_n(321, 2413, 3412, 21534) \). It also provides a Gray code for the set \( S_n(321, 2413, 3412, 21534) \) such that two successive permutations differ in at most three positions. This can be proved in the same way as Theorem 1.

This theorem induces a constructive bijection between \( E_{n,1} \cup \{id_n\} \) and \( S_{n}(321, 2413, 3412, 21534) \).

**Corollary 1** Let \( \sigma \in E_{k-1,1} \cup \{id_{k-1}\} \), we recursively define the maps \( f_k \) from \( E_{k,1} \cup \{id_k\} \) to \( S_{k}(321, 2413, 3412, 21534) \), \( 1 \leq k \leq n \) by:

- \( f_1(id_1) = id_1 \),
- \( f_k(\psi(\sigma)) = \psi(f_{k-1}(\sigma)) \),
- \( f_k(\phi(i, id_{k-1})) = \phi'(i, id_{k-1}) \), for \( k \leq n \),
- \( f_k(\phi(i, \gamma)) = \phi'(k-1, f_{k-1}(\gamma)) \), if \( \gamma \neq id_{k-1} \).

Then \( f_k \) is a bijection such that \( f_k(id_k) = id_k \). Moreover, \( f_k(\sigma) \) can be obtained from \( \sigma \) in linear time.

**Proof.** The fact that \( f_k \) is a bijection from \( E_{k,1} \cup \{id_k\} \) to \( S_{k}(321, 2413, 3412, 21534) \) is directly deduced from Theorem 2. Now let us prove that \( f_k(\sigma) \) can be obtained from \( \sigma \) in linear time. Indeed, for the trivial case where \( \sigma(k) = id_k \) then \( f_k(\sigma) = \sigma \) and there is nothing to do. Now let us assume that \( \sigma \neq id_k \) and \( \gamma \in E_{k-1,1} \cup \{id_{k-1}\} \) be its predecessor in the generating tree. Therefore, if \( \sigma(k) = k \) then \( f_k(\sigma) = \psi(f_{k-1}(\gamma)) \). Now let us consider \( \sigma(k) \neq k \). Thus, there exists \( i, 1 \leq i \leq k-1 \) such that \( \sigma(i) = k \) is the only one exceedance of \( \sigma \) and we have \( \gamma(i) = \sigma(k) \). We distinguish two cases. (a) If \( \sigma(k) = i \) then we necessarily have \( \gamma = id_{k-1} \) and \( f_k(\sigma) = \phi'(i, id_{k-1}) \). (b) If \( \sigma(k) \neq i \) then \( \gamma \neq id_{k-1} \) and \( f_k(\sigma(k)) = \phi'(k-1, f_{k-1}(\gamma)) \). The recursivity is stopped when \( \gamma \) reaches an identity permutation, i.e., when we reach the trivial case explained above. This means that the case (a) is performed only one time in order to construct \( f_k(\sigma) \). For the other levels of the recursivity, we update the current permutation by appending a value on its right and eventually by a product on the right of a transposition. This proves that \( f_k(\sigma) \) can be obtained in linear time. For example, \( f_4(3214) = f_3(\psi(321)) = \psi(f_2(321)) = \psi(f_1(\phi(1,12))) = \psi(\phi'(1,12)) = \psi(32) = 3124 \).

**Remark 2** The list \( \pi_n(E_{n,1}) \) is also an optimal Gray code for the set of avoiding permutations \( S_n(321, 2413, 3412, 21534) \ []). Two consecutive elements...
For an integer $i$, we have $d(12345, 52143) = 3$ and $d(f_5(12345), f_5(52143)) = d(12345, 31254) = 5$. See Table 1 for an illustration of our bijection onto the Gray code $E_{n,1}$.

Now, let us consider the map $\phi''$ defined as follow:

**Definition 3** For an integer $i \in \{n - 1\}$ and a permutation $\gamma \in S_{n-1}$, we define an $n$-length permutation $\sigma = \phi''_{n-1}(i, \gamma)$ by

$$
\sigma(j) = \begin{cases} 
n & \text{if } j = \gamma^{-1}(i) \\
\gamma(j) & \text{if } j \leq \gamma^{-1}(i) - 1 \\
\gamma(j - 1) & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
$$

Thus the permutation $\phi''_{n-1}(i, \gamma)$ is obtained from $\gamma$ by inserting $n$ just before $i$.

For example, $\phi''(3, 52431) = 524631$.

**Remark 3** If we replace $\phi$ by $\phi''$ in the definition of our Gray code $E_{n,1} \cup \{i_{dn}\}$, we also obtain a generating tree for the set $S_n(231, 4132, 4213, 21534)$ which can not be directly implemented in a CAT algorithm. Notice that the generating tree obtained does not list the set $S_n(231, 4132, 4213, 21534)$ in Gray code order. We do not provide the proof of this remark since it can be obtained mutatis mutandis from this one of Theorem 2.

Table 1: The Gray codes $E_{4,1}$, $E_{5,1}$, and their images by the bijection of Corollary 1: $S_n(T) \setminus \{1234\}$ and $S_n(T) \setminus \{12345\}$ where $T = \{321, 2413, 3412, 21534\}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$E_{4,1}$</th>
<th>$E_{5,1}$</th>
<th>$S_4(T) \setminus {1234}$</th>
<th>$S_5(T) \setminus {12345}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2134</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1432</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>51342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4132</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>51324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3124</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>41325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5214</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>41235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4213</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>51324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3214</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>51243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1324</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1423</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>32145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2314</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>52143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1243</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>52134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>4213</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>42135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2413</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14235</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 Final remarks

In this paper, we give an efficient (CAT) algorithm for generating permutations with a fixed number of excedances. An improvement of this algorithm allows us to obtain optimal Gray code for permutations with exactly
one excedance. We provide a constructive bijection between $E_{n,1}$ and the set $S_n(321,2413,3412,21534)\{12\ldots(n-1)n\}$ which induces an optimal Gray code for $S_n(321,2413,3412,21534)\{12\ldots(n-1)n\}$. Moreover we remark that there is a one-to-one map from $S_n(321,2413,3412,21534)$ to $S_n(231,4132,4213,21534)$ that does not induces a Gray code for the second set. Can one find a Gray code for the set $S_n(231,4132,4213,21534)$? More generally, can one find a Gray code for the set $E_{n,k}$ for $2 \leq k \leq n-1$? Is it possible to obtain a set of avoiding permutations which is in bijection with $E_{n,k}$ for $2 \leq k \leq n-1$? Eulerian numbers also enumerate permutations of length $n$ with a fixed number of descents; can one develop an efficient (CAT) algorithm for generating these objects?
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